

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney
STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN
Assistant United States Attorney
2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401
Fresno, CA 93721
Telephone: (559) 497-4000
Facsimile: (559) 497-4099

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAMON CERRANO,
ALEXIS SERRANO,
ELEAZAR VALENZUELA, and
RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00242-NODJ-BAM

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
~~[PROPOSED]~~ FINDINGS AND ORDER

DATE: March 27, 2024
TIME: 1:00 p.m.
COURT: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendants, by and through defendants' counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on March 27, 2024.
2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until July 10, 2024, and to exclude time between March 27, 2024, and July 10, 2024, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes reports, photographs, and audio files. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying, or will be produced in the

1 near future.

2 b) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to further review discovery, discuss
3 potential resolution with his client and the government, and investigate and prepare for trial.

4 c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested
5 continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking
6 into account the exercise of due diligence.

7 d) The government does not object to the continuance.

8 e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the
9 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the
10 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

11 f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,
12 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 27, 2024 to July 10, 2024,
13 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]
14 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of
15 the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest
16 of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

17 g) The parties also agree that this continuance is necessary for several reasons,
18 including but not limited to, the need to permit time for the parties to exchange supplemental
19 discovery, engage in plea negotiations, and for the defense to continue its investigation and
20 preparation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: March 20, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

/s/ STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN
STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: March 20, 2024

/s/ CHRISTINA CORCORAN
CHRISTINA CORCORAN
Counsel for Defendant
Ramon Cerrano

Dated: March 20, 2024

/s/ BARBARA O'NEILL
BARBARA O'NEILL
Counsel for Defendant
Alexis Serrano

Dated: March 20, 2024

/s/ ROGER WILSON
ROGER WILSON
Counsel for Defendant
Eleazar Valenzuela

Dated: March 20, 2024

/s/ STEVE CRAWFORD
STEVE CRAWFORD
Counsel for Defendant
Rafael Rodriguez

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from March 27, 2024, to **July 10, 2024, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8 before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe**. Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv). The Court intends to set a trial date at the next status conference. If the parties do not resolve the case in advance of the next status conference, they shall be prepared to set a trial date at the status conference hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 21, 2024

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE